

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/686,955	10/15/2003	Mitch Fredrick Singer	113748-4836US	8821		
27189 7590 11/16/2011 PROCOPIO, CORY, HARGREAVES & SAVITCH LLP			EXAM	EXAMINER		
525 B STREE		PRIETO, TOMAS A				
SUITE 2200 SAN DIEGO, CA 92101			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3621			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			11/16/2011	EL ECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@procopio.com PTONotifications@procopio.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)					
10/686,955	SINGER ET AL.					
Examiner	Art Unit					
TOMAS PRIETO	3621					

	TOMAS PRIETO	3621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DV. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 GPR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Fallur to cropy within the act or extended profited or reply will. by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three montal after the mailing aerized plant term adjustment. See 37 GPR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o (D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 M. 2a) ■ This action is FINAL. 2b) ■ This 3) ■ An election was made by the applicant in responsition for each supplication is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. onse to a restriction requirement have been incorporated into this noe except for formal matters, pro	s action. osecution as to the				
Disposition of Claims	si parto abaylo, 1000 o.b. 11, 1	30 0.0. 210.				
5) Claim(s) 1-20,39 and 71 is/are pending in the a 5a) Of the above claim(s) 39 is/are withdrawn fr 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	rom consideration.					
Application Papers						
10) The specification is objected to by the Examinei 11) The drawing(s) filed on 24 February 2010 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the c Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)	<u> </u>					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patient Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-9806) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal (ate				

US	Patent	and	Trade	mark	Offic
PT	OL-32	26 (Rev.	03-	11)

Art Unit: 3621

DETAILED ACTION

Acknowledgements

- This office action is in response to Applicants' request for continued examination filed March 24, 2010 ("2010 March RCE").
- Claims 1-20, 39 and 71 are pending ("Pending Claims").
- Claims 1-20 and 71 are examined ("Examined Claims").
- 4. Claim 39 is withdrawn ("Withdrawn Claim").
- Claims 21-38 and 40-70 are cancelled ("Cancelled Claims").
- This office action is assigned Paper No. 20111107. Paper No. is for reference purposes only.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

7. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicants' submission filed on March 24, 2010 has been entered.

Information Disclosure Statement

 The Information Disclosure Statements (IDS) filed on 12 January 2010 and 5 January 2010 have not been considered. See Advisory Action mailed March 26, 2010 ("March 2010 Advisory Action").

Art Unit: 3621

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-6, 9-15 and 71

- Claims 1-6, 9-15 and 71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Chase, et al (US 2003/0187801 A1)("Chase").
- 11. As per claim 1, Chase discloses a method of binding content to a hub network, comprising:
 - a. receiving a request to bind a discrete instance of content 12p to a hub network including a single server (user's computing device 14 containing black box 26) and one or more clients (monitor 147 and printers) as members of said hub network (see para [0147]-[0158], describing the process where DRM system 32 of user's computing device 14 performs the function of a license acquisition request to obtain a license 16 to render content 12; see also para [0144]-[0145], where rights description in each license 16 specifies whether a user has rights to play the digital content 12 based on factors such as type of device 14 or application 34 and other information such as identification 42 of a

Art Unit: 3621

user's computing device 14; see also para [0186], where as part of the process of upgrading black box 30 the DRM system 32 provides hardware information unique to DRM system 32 and/or unique to user's computing device 14; see also para [0062], where an instance is a version of digital content 12 that is unique; see also para [0109], where device 14 can be a television, monitor, dedicated audio device or a dedicated printer, among other things; see also para [0046], where a personal computer 14 can include peripherals such as a monitor 147 and a printer),

- b. wherein said discrete instance 12p includes discrete locked content data (content data 12 prior to license 16 validation/acquisition) and a discrete license (content ID or public key PU-CS) associated with the discrete locked content data 12 (content ID or key KD or public key PU-CS)(see para [0087]-[0091], where digital content package 12p includes the encrypted digital content 12, the content ID [or package ID] of such digital content 12, the key ID for decryption key KD, key KD and the encrypted public key PU-CS signed by content server 22 private key PR-CS),
- c. wherein said discrete content data 12 and the discrete license are stored on said server 14 (see para [0117], where content 12, once obtained, is stored where it is accessible by rendering application 34 of the computing device 14 such as the device's hard drive).
- d. wherein the discrete license is not bound to said hub network (see para [0142], where, if no valid license 16 is found, DRM system can perform license acquisition function to obtain valid license; see also para [0144]-[0145], where rights description in each license 16 specifies whether a user has rights to play the digital content 12 based on

Art Unit: 3621

factors such as type of device 14 or application 34 and other information such as identification 42 of a user's computing device 14; see also para [0186], where as part of the process of upgrading black box 30 the DRM system 32 provides hardware information unique to DRM system 32 and/or unique to user's computing device 14; see para [0100], where license server 24 performs the function of receiving a request for a license 16 from a user's computer device 14 in connection with a piece of digital content 12):

- e. disabling said discrete instance (see para [0189]-[0190], where upon finding a valid license 16 with the rights necessary to render content 12 in the manner sought, the rendering application 34 renders the application; see also para [0212], where digital content 12 is tightly bound to the license 16; see also para [0017], where a license is bound to a specific black box; see para [0186], where a black box 30 is tightly tied to or associated with the user's computing device 14 and will not render content if transferred to another computing device 14);
- f. enabling a bound instance to bind said discrete locked content data (content data 12 prior to license 16 validation/acquisition) to said hub network at the server 14 as source locked content data (content data 12 after successful license 16 validation/acquisition)(see para [0212], where digital content 12 is tightly bound to the license 16; see also para [0017], where a license is bound to a specific black box; see para [0186], where a black box 30 is tightly tied to or associated with the user's computing device 14 and will not render content if transferred to another computing device 14).

Art Unit: 3621

g. wherein said bound instance includes source locked content data 12 and a root license 16 associated with the source locked content data 12 (see para [0212], where digital content 12 is tightly bound to the license 16; see also para [0017], where a license is bound to a specific black box; see para [0186], where a black box 30 is tightly tied to or associated with the user's computing device 14 and will not render content if transferred to another computing device 14).

- h. wherein said source content data 12 and said root license 16 are stored on said server 14 (see para [0117], where content 12, once obtained, is stored where it is accessible by rendering application 34 of the computing device 14 such as the device's hard drive; see also para [0134], where license store 38 stores licenses 16 received by DRM system 32 for corresponding digital content 12),
- i. wherein said root license 16 is bound to said hub network (see para [0144][0145], where rights description in each license 16 specifies whether a user has rights to
 play the digital content 12 based on factors such as type of device 14 or application 34
 and other information such as identification 42 of a user's computing device 14; see also
 para [0186], where as part of the process of upgrading black box 30 the DRM system 32
 provides hardware information unique to DRM system 32 and/or unique to user's
 computing device 14; see para [0100], where license server 24 performs the function of
 receiving a request for a license 16 from a user's computer device 14 in connection with a
 piece of digital content 12).
- As per claim 2, Chase discloses as discussed above. Chase further discloses:

Art Unit: 3621

j. receiving said discrete instance 12 stored on compliant media (see para [0116]-

[0117], where digital content 12 is acquired via an optical or magnetic disk);

k. wherein compliant media is readable and writable electronic storage media (see

para [0116]-[0117], where digital content 12 is acquired via an optical or magnetic disk).

13. As per claim 3, Chase discloses as discussed above. Chase further discloses wherein:

said discrete instance 12p has a corresponding discrete license (content ID or key KD or public

key PU-CS)(see para [0087]-[0091], where digital content package 12p includes the encrypted

digital content 12, the content ID for package IDI of such digital content 12, the key ID for

decryption key KD, key KD and the encrypted public key PU-CS signed by content server 22

private key PR-CS).

14. As per claim 4, Chase discloses as discussed above. Chase further discloses wherein:

disabling said discrete instance includes disabling said discrete license (obtaining a license ties

content to a specific computing device)(see para [0212], where digital content 12 is tightly bound

to the license 16; see also para [0017], where a license is bound to a specific black box; see para

[0186], where a black box 30 is tightly tied to or associated with the user's computing device 14

and will not render content if transferred to another computing device 14).

15. As per claim 5, Chase discloses as discussed above. Chase further discloses *enabling a*

bound instance includes creating said root license 16 according to said discrete license (key

Art Unit: 3621

 $\textbf{KD}) (see \ para\ [0168], \ where\ license\ \textbf{16}\ is\ created\ in\ part\ from\ the\ license\ request,\ the\ black\ box$

30 public key PU-BB and the decryption key KD for the digital content 12).

16. As per claim 6, Chase discloses as discussed above. Chase further discloses wherein:

said server 14 will decrypt said discrete locked content data 12 after disabling said discrete

instance upon request (see para [0189]-[0190], where, after finding a valid license 16 providing

the user with the rights to render the content 12 as sought, the rendering application 34 calls on

black box 30 to decrypt digital content 12 according to the decryption key).

17. As per claim 9. Chase discloses as discussed above. Chase further discloses a method

further comprising:

1. creating bound licensing authority data according to discrete licensing authority

data (see para [0168], where license 16 is created in part from the license request, the

black box 30 public key PU-BB and the decryption key KD for the digital content 12);

m. wherein said discrete licensing authority data corresponds to said discrete

instance 12p and said discrete licensing authority data indicates an external server 24 is

an external licensing authority (see para [0148]-[0149], where digital content 12 is

packaged with information regarding how to obtain a license 16 [i.e., license acquisition

information], including internet websites or other site information at which one or more

appropriate license servers 24 may be accessed and where each such server 24 is in fact

capable of issuing a license 16 for the digital content), said bound licensing authority

data corresponds to said source locked content data and said bound licensing authority

Art Unit: 3621

data indicates said root license is a local licensing authority and said external server is an external licensing authority (see para [0148]-[0149], where digital content 12 is packaged with information regarding how to obtain a license 16 [i.e., license acquisition information], including internet websites or other site information at which one or more appropriate license servers 24 may be accessed and where each such server 24 is in fact capable of issuing a license 16 for the digital content).

- 18. As per claim 10, Chase discloses as discussed above. Chase further discloses wherein:
 - n. said discrete instance 12p has a corresponding revocation list of one or more revoked devices (see para [0159], where license server 24 maintains a list of 'bad' user computing devices 14 and where the server 24 may refuse to grant any license 16 based on any such bad user; see also para [0292] and [0296], where a revocation string 60 can be included in licenses 16 issued by license server 24).
 - o. where a revoked device 14 is a device for which authorization to participate in a hub network has been revoked (see para [0159], where license server 24 maintains a list of 'bad' user computing devices 14 and where the server 24 may refuse to grant any license 16 based on any such bad user; see also para [0292] and [0296], where a revocation string 60 can be included in licenses 16 issued by license server 24).
- 19. As per claim 11, Chase discloses as discussed above. Chase further discloses checking whether said server is in said revocation list before disabling said discrete instance and enabling said bound instance (see para [0159], where license server 24 maintains a list of 'bad' user

Art Unit: 3621

computing devices 14 and where the server 24 may refuse to grant any license 16 based on any such bad user).

20. As per claim 12, Chase discloses as discussed above. Chase further discloses:

- p. updating a server revocation list stored by said server according to said
 revocation list of said discrete instance (see para [0159], where license server 24
 maintains a list of 'bad' user computing devices 14 and where the server 24 may refuse to
 grant any license 16 based on any such bad user, where said 'bad' list may be compiled in
 any appropriate manner): and
- q. checking whether said server 14 is in said server revocation list before disabling said discrete instance and enabling said bound instance (see para [0159], where license server 24 maintains a list of 'bad' user computing devices 14 and where the server 24 may refuse to grant any license 16 based on any such bad user).
- 21. As per claim 13, Chase discloses as discussed above. Chase further discloses creating a revocation list corresponding to said source instance by creating a copy of said revocation list corresponding to said discrete instance (see para [0159], where license server 24 maintains a list of 'bad' user computing devices 14 and where the server 24 may refuse to grant any license 16 based on any such bad user, where said 'bad' list may be compiled in any appropriate manner).
- As per claim 14, Chase discloses as discussed above. Chase further discloses wherein said server 14 and said one or more clients are compliant devices (see para [0124], where DRM

Art Unit: 3621

system 32 only decrypts digital content 12 if the user has a valid license 16 for the content 12 and the right to play the digital content 12 according to the license rules in license 16; see also para [0109], where device 14 can be a television, monitor, dedicated audio device or a dedicated printer, among other things; see also para [0046], where a personal computer 14 can include peripherals such as a monitor 147 and a printer), a compliant device 14 will not decrypt locked content data without a license 16 that is bound to a hub network of which the compliant device 14 is a member (see para [0124], where DRM system 32 only decrypts digital content 12 if the user has a valid license 16 for the content 12 and the right to play the digital content 12 according to the license rules in license 16).

- 23. As per claim 15, Chase discloses as discussed above. Chase further discloses wherein: a compliant device that is not a member of said hub network will only decrypt said discrete locked content data upon request while said discrete instance is not disabled (see para [0212], where digital content 12 is tightly bound to the license 16; see also para [0017], where a license is bound to a specific black box; see para [0186], where a black box 30 is tightly tied to or associated with the user's computing device 14 and will not render content if transferred to another computing device 14; see also para [0109], where device 14 can be a television, monitor, dedicated audio device or a dedicated printer, among other things).
- As per claim 71, Chase discloses as discussed above. Chase further discloses wherein:
 - r. Said discrete instance being independent of any hub network and capable of being played or presented through any compliant device 14 (see para [0124], where DRM

20111107 - Page 12

Application/Control Number: 10/686,955

Art Unit: 3621

system 32 only decrypts digital content 12 if the user has a valid license 16 for the content 12 and the right to play the digital content 12 according to the license rules in license 16; see also para [0109], where device 14 can be a television, monitor, dedicated audio device or a dedicated printer, among other things; see also para [0046], where a personal computer 14 can include peripherals such as a monitor 147 and a printer), the compliant device 14 being incapable of making a duplicative copy of the discrete instance (see para [0124], where DRM system 32 only decrypts digital content 12 if the user has a valid license 16 for the content 12 and the right to play the digital content 12 according to the license rules in license 16; see also para [0109], where device 14 can be a television, monitor, dedicated audio device or a dedicated printer, among other things; see also para [0046], where a personal computer 14 can include peripherals such as a monitor 147 and a printer);

25. Said bound instance can only be played or presented or having copies distributed among zero or more clients in the hub network (see para [0121], where a render command may be embodied as a request to copy the digital content 12 to another form, and the rendering is performed only if the user has the right to do so; see also para [0143], where DRM system 32 determines whether a found valid license 16 gives the user the right to render the digital content 12 in the manner desired – such as a rights description that may allow the user to render the digital content 12 into a sound, but not into a decrypted digital copy).

Art Unit: 3621

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

26. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Alternative Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

- Claims 1-6, 9-15 and 71, as understood by Examiner, are alternatively rejected under 35
 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Chase in view of Peinado (US 2002/0013772 A1).
- 28. Chase discloses as discussed above. Also as noted above, it is Examiner's principal position that claim 1 is anticipated by Chase because a discrete license is inherently not bound to said hub network. However if a reviewing body finds that Chase's content ID or content server public key is not inherently unbound from a specific network, Peinado teaches distributing content packages 12p via magnetic or optical disks or other storage devices (see para [0070]; see also para [0078], where the content ID of digital content 12 is included in the digital content package 12p).
- 29. Therefore, if not inherent, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine Peinado's program or code distributed via magnetic or optical disks with Chase's content because a program or code distributed via magnetic or optical disk cannot be bound to a specific network or machine until the program or code is installed in the network or machine and the network or machine is identified for binding purposes.

Art Unit: 3621

Claims 7-8 and 16-20

30. Claims 7-8 and 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over

Chase in view of Peinado.

31. As per claim 7, Chase discloses as discussed above. Chase further discloses wherein said

root license indicates said server has root responsibility for said source locked content data.

32. Peinado teaches said root license indicates said server 60 has root responsibility for said

source locked content data (see para [0283], where the computer 60 checks the obtained license

16 to determine whether license 16 allows issuance of sub-licenses 16s).

33. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of

invention, to add Peinado's sublicenses managed by the computer to Chase's method of digital

content management.

34. A person having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make this addition

because assigning the control of issuing sub-licenses of content to the local system storing the

rights distribution rules allows for the full use of content under the license restrictions by the user

without having to constantly contact the content distributor for permissions for every instance of

sub-licensing.

35. As per claim 8, Chase discloses as discussed above. Chase does not specifically disclose

creating a sub-copy locked content data and storing it on a client.

Peinado teaches:

Art Unit: 3621

s. creating a sub-copy locked content data, which is a copy of said source locked content data (see para [0284], where computer 60 creates a sub-license 16s to transfer to portable device 62; see also para [0280]-[0281], where digital content 12 and a sub-license 16s are downloaded to a portable device 62; see also para [0299], where once the portable device 62 has the content 12 and the sub-license 16s, the device 62 may render content 12 if allowed by rights description in the sub-license 16s); and

- t. storing said sub-copy locked content data at a client within the hub network (see also para [0280]-[0281], where digital content 12 and a sub-license 16s are downloaded to a portable device 62; see also para [0299], where once the portable device 62 has the content 12 and the sub-license 16s, the device 62 may render content 12 if allowed by rights description in the sub-license 16s).
- 37. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention, to add Peinado's downloading of a sub-license and content to a portable device to Chase's method of digital content management.
- 38. A person having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make this addition because [motivation to combine references](see citation if necessary, where).
- 39. As per claim 16, Chase discloses as discussed above. Chase does not specifically disclose creating a source key by copying a discrete key and wherein said discrete key is for decrypting said discrete locked content data, and said source key is for decrypting said source locked content data.
- 40. Peinado teaches:

Art Unit: 3621

u. creating a source key by copying a discrete key (see para [0277]-[0278], where the computer 60 re-encrypts the content key KD used to decrypt content data 12 to send to portable device 62);

- v. wherein said discrete key is for decrypting said discrete locked content data, and said source key is for decrypting said source locked content data (see para [0277]-[0278], where the computer 60 re-encrypts the content key KD used to decrypt content data 12 to send to portable device 62).
- 41. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention, to add Peinado's re-encrypted copy of the content key to Chase's method of digital content management.
- 42. A person having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make this addition because managing the distribution of necessary access keys on a local level to client devices eliminates the need for the client devices to obtain access keys or licenses directly from a server, thereby enabling distribution to client devices without the capacity to connect to a remote source of data.
- 43. As per claim 17, Chase and Peinado disclose as discussed above. Peinado further discloses wherein said discrete locked content data is encrypted using a content encryption technique (see para [0010], where digital content is encrypted according to a content key KD), said source locked content data is encrypted using said content encryption technique (see para [0010], where digital content is encrypted according to a content key KD), said discrete key is encrypted using a hub network encryption technique that is different from said content

20111107 - Page 17

Application/Control Number: 10/686,955

Art Unit: 3621

encryption technique (see para [0278], where the content key KD is encrypted with the public key PU-BB-CO of the black box of the computer 60), and said source key is encrypted using said hub network encryption technique (see para [0278], where content key KD to be distributed to portable device 62 is re-encrypted by computer 60 using the black box public key of the portable device PU-BB-PD).

- 44. As per claim 18, Chase and Peinado disclose as discussed above. Chase further discloses wherein: said server 14 stores a hub network key for decrypting data encrypted using said hub network encryption technique (see para [0132], where black box 30 of DRM system 32 has a unique public/private key pair PU-BB,PR-BB used as part of the process of evaluating license 16 and obtaining a decryption key for content 12; see also para [0189], where decryption key KD as obtained from license 16 is encrypted with black box 30 public key PU-BB(KD), and the black box 30 decrypts such encrypted decryption key with its private key PR-BB).
- 45. As per claim 19, Chase and Peinado disclose as discussed above. Chase further discloses wherein: said hub network encryption technique is different from said content encryption technique because said hub network encryption technique uses a different key for encrypting data than the key that said content encryption technique uses for encrypting data (see para [0202], where digital content 12 is encrypted by key KD; see also para [0197], where the decryption key KD for the content 12 is encrypted with the black box 30 public key PU-BB).

Art Unit: 3621

46. As per claim 20, Chase and Peinado disclose as discussed above. Chase further discloses wherein: said root license 16 is encrypted using said hub network encryption technique (see para [0189], where decryption key KD as obtained from license 16 is encrypted with black box 30 public key PU-BB(KD), and the black box 30 decrypts such encrypted decryption key with its private key PR-BB).

Claim Interpretation

- 47. Examiner finds that because the Examined Claims recite neither "step for" nor "means for," the examined claims fail Prong (A) as set forth in MPEP §2181 I. Because all examined claims fail Prong (A) as set forth in MPEP §2181 I., Examiner concludes that all examined claims do not invoke 35 U.S.C. §112, 6th paragraph. See also Ex parte Miyazaki, 89 USPQ2d 1207, 1215-16 (B.P.A.I. 2008) (precedential).
- 48. After careful review of the original specification, Examiner concludes that Applicant has lexicographically defined the following terms:
 - w. Bound Instance. A bound instance is bound to one hub network. The bound instance is one logical instance represented by locked content data and corresponding licenses stored on the server of the hub network and on zero or more of the clients of the hub network (Specification, pg. 6, ln 19-22).
 - x. Content. The audio and/or video of an item of media (Specification, pg. 4, ln 21).
 - Content Data. The data representing an item of content (Specification, pg. 4, ln 22-23).

Art Unit: 3621

z. Discrete Instance. A discrete instance is independent of any hub network and can be played or presented through any compliant device (according to the license of the discrete instance)(Specification, pg. 6, In 13-15).

- aa. Instance. A logical collection of data including the content data for an item of content (Specification, pg. 4, ln 23-24).
- bb. License. The data storing the permissions for using content data (Specification, pg. 4, ln 29-30).
- cc. Play/Present. Rendering and displaying the content data of an instance of content or otherwise presenting the content data according to the type of content (e.g., presenting audio and video for a movie or presenting audio for a song)(Specification, pg. 4, ln 25-28).
- dd. Presenting an Instance. Rendering and displaying the content data of an instance (Specification, pg. 4, ln 28-29).
- 49. The examined claims are therefore construed with these lexicographic definitions. See MPEP §2111.01 IV. Additionally, Examiner is unable to locate any *other* lexicographic definitions in the original specification with the required clarity, deliberateness, and precision. Therefore, except for the terms expressly recited above that have been lexicographically defined, Examiner concludes that Applicant is not his/her own lexicographer for any *other* terms or phrases. See MPEP §2111.01 IV.

Art Unit: 3621

Response to Arguments

 Applicants' arguments filed 24 February 2010 ("2010 Feb Remarks") have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 51. The prior art made of record which is considered pertinent to Applicants' disclosure is listed on the document titled 'Notice of Reference Cited' ("PTO-892") Unless expressly noted otherwise by the Examiner, all documents listed on the PTO-892 are cited in their entirety.
- 52. Applicants are respectfully reminded that any suggestions or examples of claim language provided by the Examiner are just that suggestions or examples and do not constitute a formal requirement mandated by the Examiner. To be especially clear, any suggestion or example provided in this Office Action (or in any future Office Action) does not constitute a formal requirement mandated by the Examiner.
 - ee. Should Applicants decide to amend the claims, Applicants are also reminded that

 like always no new matter is allowed. The Examiner therefore leaves it up to the

 Applicants to choose the precise claim language of the amendment in order to ensure that
 the amended language complies with 35 U.S.C. § 112, 1st paragraph.
 - ff. Independent of the requirements under 35 U.S.C. § 112, 1st paragraph, Applicants are also respectfully reminded that when amending a particular claim, all claim terms must have clear support or antecedent basis in the specification. See 37 C.F.R. § 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Should Applicants amend the claims such that the claim language no longer has clear support or antecedent basis in the specification, an

Art Unit: 3621

objection to the specification may result. Therefore, in these situations where the amended claim language does not have clear support or antecedent basis in the specification and to prevent a subsequent 'Objection to the Specification' in the next office action, Applicants are encouraged to either (1) re-evaluate the amendment and change the claim language so the claims *do* have clear support or antecedent basis or, (2) amend the specification to ensure that the claim language does have clear support or antecedent basis. See again, MPEP § 608.01(o)(¶3). Should Applicants choose to amend the specification, Applicants are reminded that – like always – no new matter is allowed. See 35 U.S.C. § 132(a). If Applicants have any questions on this matter, Applicants are encouraged to contact the Examiner via the telephone number listed below.

- 53. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to TOMAS PRIETO whose telephone number is (571) 270-5959. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 8:30am-5:00pm.
- 54. If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Andrew Fischer can be reached on (571) 272-6779. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 55. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

Art Unit: 3621

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/TOMAS PRIETO/ Examiner, Art Unit 3621

/ANDREW J. FISCHER/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3621